Examiner-Initiated Interview Summa	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/722,120	BABIN ET AL.	
Examiner-initiated interview Summ	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tim Heitbrink	1722	
All Participants: Status of Application: ending			
(1) <u>Tim Heitbrink</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Al Ferro</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 14 March 2006	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant	☐ Applicant's representativ	e)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	No		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 1-4,8-11,15 and 16	·		
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH	HE GENERAL NATURE OF	WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:	
Mr. Ferro agreed to the changes as found in the Exan different diamond or diamond-like coatings	niner's Amendment. Such char	ges were made in order to distin	guish the
Part III.	•		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a state directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant to fit the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a state of the interview. 	cation. The examiner will pr separate record of the subs	ovide a written summary of the tance of the interview, since	ne substance
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brie	ef summary by the examiner	appears in Part II above.	
		•	
	•		
· ·			
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		